Interview Summary

Application No.

Applicant(s)

09/135,222

Lau et al.

Examiner

Suzette Jackson

Group Art Unit 3738



All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):	
(1) Suzette Jackson	(3)
(2) Tom Majcher	(4)
Date of Interview Jan 13, 2000	
Type: 🛛 Telephonic 🗆 Personal (copy is given	en to applicant applicant's representative).
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted:	Yes 🛛 No. If yes, brief description:
Agreement X was reached. was not reached	d.
Claim(s) discussed: claim 1	•
Identification of prior art discussed:	
	•
	ne amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render are no copy of the amendents which would render the claims allowable
1. \boxtimes It is not necessary for applicant to provide	a separate record of the substance of the interview.
LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUS	ndicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE ST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP oction has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH SENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.
each of the objections, rejections and requi claims are now allowable, this completed f	above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to irements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last am providing a separate record of the interview unless box 1 above
Examiner Note: You must sign and stamp this form unless	it is an attachment to a signed Office action.